

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/623,129 07/18/2003		07/18/2003	Stuart Bunting	P0891C7	4492	
9157	7590	03/03/2005		EXAMINER		
GENENTI	ECH, INC	· ·•	SPIVACK, PHYLLIS G			
1 DNA WA SOUTH SA	-	CISCO, CA 94080		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		
		•		1614		

DATE MAILED: 03/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					(,				
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	J				
		10/623,129		BUNTING ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	<u>.</u>	Phyllis G. Sp	pivack	1614					
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence address	s				
THE - Exte afte - If th - If NO - Faill Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, y within the statutor will apply and will e e, cause the applica	however, may a reply be tim ry minimum of thirty (30) days xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commun D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	vication.				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ju	ulv 2003.							
•	•	action is nor	ı-final.						
3)□	,—								
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	tion of Claims		•						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from cons	ideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election req	uirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers				•				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	epted or b)	objected to by the E	Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required	if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note	the attached Office	Action or form PTO-15	52.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been	received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been	received in Applicati	on No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity document	s have been receive	ed in this National Stag	e				
	application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).						
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certifie	d copies not receive	ed.					
Attachmer			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(070,440)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)) 🔲 Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)	1				
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date	6)						

Art Unit: 1614

The undersigned Examiner supports the goal of the Office to advance prosecution as expediently as is reasonably possible. Cooperation is requested with respect to the timely submission of any references deemed pertinent to the present application along with Form PTO-1449.

Claims 1-6 are pending.

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain <u>a</u> patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-6 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-6 of copending Application No. 10/848814. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Art Unit: 1614

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-6 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 5,935,924. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the open language of the present claims allows for the inclusion of any number of other active agents. An angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor is a conventional medicament in the treatment of congestive heart failure.

No claim is allowed.

This is a continuation of Applicants' earlier Application No. 10/288254. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office Action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a First Action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicants are reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this Final Action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this Final Action and the Advisory Action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the Advisory Action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/623,129 Page 4

Art Unit: 1614

the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this Final Action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Phyllis G. Spivack whose telephone number is 571-272-0585. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday from 10:30 AM to 7PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful after one business day, the Examiner's supervisor, Chris Low, can be reached at 571-272-0951. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phyllis G. Spivack Primary Examiner Art Unit 1614

February 26, 2005